

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,581	03/20/2006	Karl Ruhland	RUHLAND2	2874
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 07/08/2009 ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			DEXTER, CLARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10572581	3/20/2006	RUHLAND ET AL.	RUHLAND2

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON. DC 20001-5303

	EXAMINER				
C	Clark F. Dexter				
ART UNIT	PAPER				
3724	20090706				

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The response filed on March 29, 2009 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

the amendments to the specification are improper because they are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, particularly section (b); specifically, the use of single brackets is improper and deletions of five characters or less must be showly double-bracketing; for example, see the last paragraph on page 3 of the subject response; the last paragraph on page 4 of the subject response; the last paragraph on page 5 of the subject response; the last paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on each of pages 7-9 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraphs on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragraph on page 6 of the subject response; the paragr

the amendments to the claims are improper because they are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2); see the deletions of claim 1, lines 5, 9, 10; claim 4; and others.

In these examples, using single bracketing or strikethrough is improper and the deletions must be shown using double bracketing (as stated in the corresponding rule, the text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived which corresponds to deletions of 5 characters or less).

In response to this communication, it is suggested to simply provide a supplemental amendment to resolve the outstanding issue(s). If applicant has any questions regarding the manner of making amendments, applicant is welcome to telephone the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandomment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

/Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724